

PCT

REC'D	2 6 NOV 200	14
WIPO	PCT	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference		See Notification of Transmittal of International					
14372.01	FOR FURTHER ACTION	Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)					
International application No.	International filing date (day/mon	th/year) Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/US03/17782	05 June 2003 (05.06.2003)	06 June 2002 (06.06.2002)					
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and IPC						
IPC(7): B63B 19/00 and US Cl.: 244/117R,119,12.9.3,129.4,129.5; 114/117,201R; 49/40							
Applicant							
REMMELE ENGINEERING, INC.							
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of	2. This REPORT consists of a total of Sheets, including this cover sheet.						
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the							
PCT).							
These annexes consist of a	total of sheets.						
3. This report contains indica	ations relating to the following	items:					
I Basis of the rep	OFF.						
II Priority							
	-	d to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of							
	asoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial plicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
VI Certain docume	VI Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects	VII Certain defects in the international application						
VIII Certain observa	VIII Certain observations on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of this report					
06 January 2004 (06.01.2004)		30 September 2004 (30.09.2004)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US		Aythorized officer					
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		GALEN BAREFOOT					
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450						
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)							



	<u></u>
International and ation No.	•
nemarace 4 5500	•
PCT/US03/17782	

I.	Basi	s of the report		
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*		
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed.		
	\boxtimes	the description:		
		pages 1-15 as originally filed		
		pages NONE , filed with the demand		
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of		
	\boxtimes	the claims:		
		pages 16-19, as originally filed		
		pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19		
		pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of		
		the drawings:		
		pages 1-12, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand		
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of		
		the sequence listing part of the description:		
		pages NONE , as originally filed		
		pages NONE , filed with the demand		
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of		
2.	lang	h regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the uage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:		
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).		
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).		
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).		
3.		h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the mational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:		
		contained in the international application in printed form.		
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.		
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.			
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.		
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.		
4.	\boxtimes	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
		the description, pages NONE		
		the claims, Nos. NONE		
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE		
5.				
٤.	Ш	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**		
*	Repla	acement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in		
thi	s rep	ort as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.		



International a PCT/US03/1776

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1. STATEMENT						
Novelty (N)	Claims	6-7,9-20	YES			
1.0.019 (4)		1-5,8				
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES			
-	Claims	1-20	NO			
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20	YES			
industrial representation (2.2)		NONE	1			
Claims 1-5 and 8 lack novelty under PCT Article 33 Claims 6-7.9-20 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33 not have involved an inventive step to provide the company of the company	Article 33(3) as door of Weber	being obvious over Weber et	al in view of Meyer et al. It would r hardware as taught by Meyer et al.			
			·			